

### Remarks

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed November 19, 2004. Applicants point out that the Office Action Summary incorrectly stated that only claims 59-83 were pending. However, it is clear from the prosecution history that claims 52-83 were still pending. Claims 71 and 83 are being amended to make minor grammatical corrections. These amendments are not narrowing. New claims 84-93 are being added. Accordingly, claims 52-93 remain for the Examiner's consideration.

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for indicating that Applicants' arguments in the Reply and Amendment filed August 30, 2004, with respect to the previous 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections, were considered and deemed persuasive.

Claims 71-78 and 83 were provisionally rejected under obviousness-type double patenting rejection based on U.S. Patent Application No. 10/074,347 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,494,940 to Hak. Applicants do not necessarily agree with this rejection. However, to expedite issuance of a patent, Applicants are submitting a Terminal Disclaimer herewith, as recommended in the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the non-statutory double patenting rejection be withdrawn.

Applicants believe that all the claims should be in condition for allowance and respectfully request that a Notice of Allowance be issued. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 24, 2004

By: 

Jeffrey R. Kurin  
Reg. No. 41,132

Customer No. 23910  
Fliesler Meyer LLP  
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4156  
Telephone: 415/362-3800  
Facsimile: 415/362-2928